					version //u3						
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE		2. DATE SUBMITTED		Applicant Ident	ifier						
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3. DATE RECEIVED BY	STATE	State Application	on Identifier						
Application	Pre-application	OI DATE REGERTED DI	O'A'E	Otato Applicati	on identifier						
<u></u>	Construction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY	FEDERAL AGEN	CY Federal Identifi	ег						
Construction											
Non-Construction 5. APPLICANT INFORMATION	Non-Construction										
Legal Name:			Organizational I	Init	-						
, i			Department:	54HC.							
Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish	Commission										
Organizational DUNS:	40		Division:								
0866250	19			ili	son to be contacted on matters						
Address: Street:				none number of per pplication (give area							
0			Prefix:	First Name:							
729 NE Oregon, Suite 200			Mr.	Mr. Jon							
City: Portland, OR		-	Middle Name								
County: Multnomah		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Last Name Matth	iews							
State: Oregon	Zip Code 97232		Suffix:								
Country:			Email: matj@critfc.org								
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATIO	N NUMBER (EIN):		Phone Number (Fax Number (give area code)						
l			503.238.0667	, ve area acce,							
93-0695227					503.235.4228						
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:			7. TYPE OF APP	PLICANT: (See back	of form for Application Types)						
☐ Nev		n 🔲 Revision	l ĸ								
If Revision, enter appropriate lett			1								
(See back of form for description	or letters.)		Other (specify)								
Other (specify)	اسا		9 NAME OF FE	DERAL AGENCY:							
Cirici (Specify)				lal Protection Agenc	у						
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL D	OMESTIC ASSISTANC	E NUMBER:	11. DESCRIPTIV	E TITLE OF APPLIC	CANT'S PROJECT:						
			Watershed Rest	oration Support Deve	lopment Program						
T(T) = (A) = m/d = (B = = = = =)		66-926									
TITLE (Name of Program):											
111 LE (Name of Program):	OJECT (Cities, Counties	s, States, etc.):	1								
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR	OJECT (Cities, Counties	s, States, etc.):									
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROPERTY Oregon, Washington, Idaho	OJECT (Cities, Counties	;, States, etc.):		IONAL DIOTRICTO							
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROPOSED PROJECT		;, States, etc.):		IONAL DISTRICTS							
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROTECT Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date:	OJECT (Cities, Counties Ending Date:		14. CONGRESS a. Applicant Oregon Distri		DF: b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROPOSED PROJECT	Ending Date:		a. Applicant Oregon Distric	ct 3	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROTECT Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	Ending Date:		a. Applicant Oregon Distriction 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROTECT Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04	Ending Date:	5	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? S PREAPPLICATION	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE /APPLICATION WAS MADE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$	Ending Date:		a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? S PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE /APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROTECT Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	Ending Date:	110,000	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? S PREAPPLICATION	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE /APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$	Ending Date:	5	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE /APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$	Ending Date:	110,000	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW E:	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE /APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROTECT Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$	Ending Date:	110,000	a. Applicant Oregon Distri 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? SPEAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW E: FOR THE STA FOR THE ST	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE /APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 / ON						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROTECT Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$	Ending Date:	110,000	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO OR F FOR	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW E: GRAM IS NOT COV PROGRAM HAS NOT REVIEW	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE (APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 (ON) ERED BY E. O. 12372						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROCESSON, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$	Ending Date:	110,000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO OR F FOR 17. IS THE APPLICA OR DISTRICT OR F FOR	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW E: GRAM IS NOT COV PROGRAM HAS NOT REVIEW LICANT DELINQUE	b Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 VON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT?						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$	Ending Date: 9/30/0	110,000	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO OR F FOR 17. IS THE APPLICA Yes If "Yes" a	TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW E: PROGRAM IS NOT COV PROGRAM HAS NOT REVIEW LICANT DELINQUE	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 YON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? No						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO	Ending Date: 9/30/0	110,000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO 17. IS THE APPL Yes If "Yes" a	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STATE OF THE	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? No RUE AND CORRECT. THE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY	Ending Date: 9/30/0	110,000 110,000 110,000 ALL DATA IN THIS API	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO 17. IS THE APPL Yes If "Yes" a	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STATE OF THE	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? No RUE AND CORRECT. THE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY	Ending Date: 9/30/0	110,000 110,000 110,000 ALL DATA IN THIS API	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO 17. IS THE APPL Yes If "Yes" a	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STATE OF THE	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? No RUE AND CORRECT. THE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF a. Authorized Representative	WLEDGE AND BELIEF AUTHORIZED BY THE THE ASSISTANCE IS A	110,000 110,000 110,000 ALL DATA IN THIS API	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO OR F FOR 17. IS THE APPLICATION/PREA THE APPLICANT	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STATE OF THE	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? No RUE AND CORRECT. THE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF a. Authorized Representative Prefix Mr.	Ending Date: 9/30/0 WLEDGE AND BELIEF AUTHORIZED BY THE THE ASSISTANCE IS A	110,000 110,000 110,000 ALL DATA IN THIS API	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO OR F FOR 17. IS THE APPL Yes If "Yes" a PLICATION/PREA THE APPLICANT	TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? ROCESS? REAPPLICATION BLABLE TO THE STA CESS FOR REVIEW FROGRAM IS NOT COV ROGRAM HAS NOT REVIEW LICANT DELINQUE RETAIL AND THE APPLICA Iddle Name	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? No RUE AND CORRECT. THE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF a. Authorized Representative Prefix Mr. Last Name Patt	WLEDGE AND BELIEF AUTHORIZED BY THE THE ASSISTANCE IS A	110,000 110,000 110,000 ALL DATA IN THIS API	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO OR F FOR 17. IS THE APPL Yes If "Yes" a PLICATION/PREA THE APPLICANT	CITION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STATE OF THE	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? No RUE AND CORRECT. THE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF a. Authorized Representative Prefix Mr. Last Name Patt b. Title \$ 13. To THE BEST OF MY KNO Prefix Mr.	WLEDGE AND BELIEF AUTHORIZED BY THE THE ASSISTANCE IS A	110,000 110,000 110,000 ALL DATA IN THIS API	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO TOR FOR 17. IS THE APPLICANT Yes If "Yes" a PLICATION/PREA THE APPLICANT	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW E: BGRAM IS NOT COV PROGRAM HAS NOT REVIEW LICANT DELINQUE! attach an explanation PPLICATION ARE T AND THE APPLICA iddle Name utflix Jr. Telephone Number	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? NO RUE AND CORRECT. THE NT WILL COMPLY WITH THE						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PR Oregon, Washington, Idaho 13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: 10/01/04 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$ g. TOTAL \$ 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNO DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF a. Authorized Representative Prefix Mr. Last Name Patt	WLEDGE AND BELIEF AUTHORIZED BY THE THE ASSISTANCE IS A	110,000 110,000 110,000 ALL DATA IN THIS API	a. Applicant Oregon District 16. IS APPLICA ORDER 12372 P a. Yes. THIS AVA PRO DAT b. No. PRO 17. IS THE APPL Yes If "Yes" a PLICATION/PREA THE APPLICANT M Si	ct 3 TION SUBJECT TO ROCESS? B PREAPPLICATION ILABLE TO THE STA ICESS FOR REVIEW E: BGRAM IS NOT COV PROGRAM HAS NOT REVIEW LICANT DELINQUE! attach an explanation PPLICATION ARE T AND THE APPLICA Iddle Name utflix Jr. Telephone Number 503 238 0667	b. Project Oregon, Washington, Idaho REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE APPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 ON ERED BY E. O. 12372 T BEEN SELECTED BY STATE NT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? NO RUE AND CORRECT. THE NT WILL COMPLY WITH THE						

Previous/Edition Usable Authorized for Local Reproduction Standard Form 424 (Rev.9-2003) Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102 **BUDGET INFORMATION - Non-Construction Programs**

OMB Approval No. 0348-0044

	Control of Control of	COLUMN TO STATE OF			BUDGET SUM		Y	DATE:		1,500	facols (a view et al.)
	talog of Federal nestic Assistance	Estimated Unobligated Sunds			New or Revised Budge				et.		
or Activity (a)	Number (b)		Federal (c)	N	on-Federal (d)		Federal (e)		Non-Federal (f)		Total (g)
1.GAP		\$	110,000.00	S		\$		\$		\$	110,000.00
2.											0.00
3.											0.00
4.											0.00
5. Totals		\$	110,000 00	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	110,000.00
White the same of the same	ng Bud Abilan Ba	a suntant	SECTIO	NB-E	UDGET CATE	GORII	ES PUED AUTO-				Careful Strong C
6. Object Class Categories					ANT PROGRAM, F		ON OR ACTIVITY				Total
		(1) \$		(2)		(3)		(4)		_	(5)
a. Personnet		3	57,680.00	\$		\$		\$		\$	57,680.00
b. Fringe Benefits			18,169.00								18,169.00
c. Travel			4,668.00								4,668,00
d. Equipment											0.00
e. Supplies			395.00						1		395.00
f. Contractual											0.00
g. Construction				-							0.00
h. Other					-						0.00
i. Total Direct Charge:	s (sum of 6a-6h)		80,912.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		80,912.00
j. Indirect Charges			29,088.00								29,088.00
k. TOTALS (sum of 6	i and 6j)	\$	110,000.00	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	110,000.00
7. Program Income		\$		s	ton many such	s	vesse, entress	s		s	
7. 1 Togram vicome		*		-i (-1! D	7		"		1	0.00

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7-97) Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

Previous Edition Usable

(a) Grant Program			C - NON-FEDERAL RE (b) Applicant		(c) State		(d) Other Sources		(e) TOTALS	
8. GAP			\$	110,000,00	\$		\$		\$	110,000.00
9.						- 67				0.00
					\vdash					0.00
11,										0.00
12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)			\$	110,000.00	s	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	110,000.00
		SECTION	D-F	ORECASTED CA	SH N	EEDS				
· · ·		Total for 1st Year		1st Quarter		2nd Quarter	3r	d Quarter		4th Quarter
13. Federal	\$	0.00	\$		\$		\$		\$	
14. Non-Federal		0.00								
15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	0,00	\$	0.00
SECTION E -	BUDGET	ESTIMATES OF	FEDE	RAL FUNDS NEE	DE	FOR BALANCE	OF THE	PROJECT		Sign State
(a) Grant Program					,	FUTURE FUNDING				
16.GAP			\$	(b) First	s	(c) Second	s (c	d) Third	\$	(e) Fourth
17,							•			
					\vdash				-	
18,			_						lacksquare	
19.										
20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16-19)			\$	0.00	\$	0.00	s	0.00	\$	0.00
		SECTION F	- ОТ	HER BUDGET IN	OR	MATION		Suppose.		
21. Direct Charges: \$80,912.00				22. Indirect Charges: \$29.088.00						
23. Remarks										

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7-97) Page 2

Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission Watershed Restoration Support and Development Program October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

IX. PROPOSED WORK PLAN

Indian Environmental General Assistance Program funds will be used to assist and promote tribal program development efforts to improve the environmental health of watershed water quality in support of tribal salmon restoration. These watersheds are located throughout the Columbia River Basin on the reservations, within the ceded territories and throughout the usual and accustomed fishing areas of the Commission's member tribes. The objectives and tasks described below support the tribal salmon restorations goals of Wy-Kan-Ush-Mi Wa-Kish-Wit (Spirit of the Salmon) "The Columbia River Anadromous Fish Plan of the Nez Perce, Umatilla, Warm Springs and Yakama Tribes"; by providing critical scientific, technical, and coordinating support and expertise to further the capacity of tribal water quality programs.

Objective 1: Develop and provide technical support for tribal water quality improvement projects within a watershed framework consistent with Wy-Kan-Ush-Mi Wa-Kish-Wit,

Task 1.1: Description: Develop programs and initiatives as part of the Water Quality Improvement Plan and Fish Contaminant Action Plan to support tribal water quality programs and activities in the Columbia River Basin.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.30/FTE) at \$33,000.

Expected Outcome: Provide infrastructure to achieve the water quality goals set forth in Wy-Kan-Ush-Mi Wa-Kish-Wit. Facilitate a tribal response to the fish contaminant issues raised by the EPA Columbia River Basin Fish Contaminant Survey (2002). Promote a unified tribal response to fish contaminants and other water quality pollution in the Columbia River Basin.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Develop programs and initiatives that promote and support the tribal vision of water quality in the Columbia River Basin.
- 2. Coordinate water quality improvement activities between the tribes.
- 3. Exchange water quality information between the Commission tribes and other tribal governments.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

Task 1.2: Description: Assist the tribes in acquiring funding for coordinated watershed projects in 2004 with diverse partners such as the US Environmental Protection Agency, the US Army Corps of Engineers, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.03/FTE) at \$3,300. This individual will advise tribal staff on technical and tribal issues related to water quality projects implemented through the above mentioned programs.

Expected Outcome: Support and promotion of tribal water quality and watershed programs.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Attend regional meetings related to water quality programs initiated through the above processes.
- 2. Provide meeting summaries to tribal staff.
- 3. Update tribal staff on the technical and tribal funding issues related to these programs.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

Task 1.3: Description: Continue work with the Commission development coordinator to track new sources of funding to tribes through federal, state, and private sources. Meet with tribes to help them identify funding sources. Participate and garner tribal staff participation in restoration grant selection committees to improve the quality of restoration work around the basin.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.10/FTE) at \$11,000.

Expected Outcome: Increased funding opportunities for tribal watershed and water quality programs; development of tribal infrastructure.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Identify and pursue long-term funding sources for the Hagerman Water Quality Laboratory.
- 2. Submit grant applications on behalf of the member tribes or provide assistance to tribal staff to procure funding to support identified program needs.
- 3. Hold meetings with the Commission development coordinator as needed.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

restoration and management within a watershed framework.

Task 2.1: Description: Continue to provide regular technical support to tribal staff on regional and national water quality issues and Clean Water Act regulatory processes.

Personnel: This task is the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.15/FTE) at \$16,500.

Expected Outcome: Coordination and information sharing between the Commission and Commission tribes' water quality programs. Improved understanding of the regional processes that impact water quality.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Provide updates, analyses and recommendations to tribes on regional and national regulatory processes.
- 2. Evaluate and assess regional water quality programs and processes.
- 3. Support and promote tribal, basin-wide water quality programs.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

Task 2.2: Description: Provide technical consultation to tribes for water quality programs on an "as needed basis."

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.05/FTE) at \$5,500.

Expected Outcome: Improved project effectiveness.

Outputs and Deliverables:

1. Meet with tribal staff as needed to discuss project-specific technical issues.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

Task 2.3: Description: Provide technical and coordinating assistance to the development of the Hagerman Water Quality Laboratory in Hagerman, Idaho.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.1/FTE) at \$11,000.

Expected Outcome: Improved tribal infrastructure that increases ability of tribes to analyze and managed tribal water quality data.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Provide technical assistance in setting up the water quality laboratory.
- 2. Advise tribal staff on the availability of the facility.
- 3. Determine laboratory equipment and supply needs.
- 4. Pursue, with the member tribes, research and funding needs for the laboratory

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004- September 30, 2005

Objective 3: Provide ongoing coordination and technical support for the goals and objectives of the tribal Water Quality Improvement Plan and Fish Contaminant Action Plan.

Task 3.1: Description: Act as a technical liaison to regional processes and forums addressing water quality management, protection and restoration. Promote tribal programs and successes through these venues.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.19/FTE) at \$20,900.

Expected Outcome: Increased cooperation and coordination between tribes and other government entities. Increased understanding by government entities of tribal water quality goals.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Participate on regional water quality processes.
- 2. Provide technical updates to the Commission member tribes.
- 3. Support and promote tribal basin-wide water quality improvement programs.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

Task 3.2: Description: Work with regional and local water quality forums to provide technical assistance for water quality restoration activities.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.02/FTE) at \$2,200.

Expected Outcome: Comprehensive, proactive, and coordinated water quality improvement.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Attend water quality forum meetings.
- 2. Facilitate cooperative partnerships and alliances at the local and regional level.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

Task 3.3: Description: Facilitate technical exchange between tribes by conducting inter-tribal meetings on water quality issues, including assessment, development, funding, monitoring and evaluation, education and public outreach.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.02/FTE) at \$2,200.

Expected Outcome: Increased coordination of tribal water quality restoration and management activities. Increased understanding of technical, water quality issues.

Outputs and Deliverables:

1. Hold inter-tribal technical meetings or workshops as needed.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

Objective 4: Provide education and outreach to scientific community to advance Wy-Kan-Ush - Mi Wa-Kish-Wit water quality goals in watershed planning and restoration.

Task 4.1: Description: Continue ongoing efforts to educate other agencies, organizations, and individuals on the scientific basis of the tribal salmon restoration plan. Give presentations, participate in forums, and conduct poster sessions at conferences to bring wider notoriety to tribal watershed restoration efforts.

Personnel: This task will be the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator (.02/FTE) at \$2,200.

Expected Outcome: Increased coordination of tribal watershed and water quality restoration activities and increased understanding of tribal water quality goals.

Outputs and Deliverables:

- 1. Attend relevant conferences, scientific meetings and workshops.
- 2. Give presentations on tribal water quality topics at conferences, scientific meetings and workshops.
- 3. Facilitate cooperative partnerships and alliances.
- 4. Promote tribal water quality programs.

Time Frame: Ongoing through October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005

X. REPORTING SCHEDULE AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Description: Each identified project objective and task will be addressed in the report. The progress report will contain the following elements:

- 1) Project accomplishments and how they address work plan commitments;
- 2) Cumulative effectiveness of work plan accomplishments on tribal water quality programs;
- 3) Identification of potential problem areas and proposed remedies for program improvement; and
- 4) Suggestions for improving overall project performance and work commitments to tribal water quality programs.

Deliverables: Progress reports will be submitted to the EPA Project Officer on a quarterly basis. Quarterly reports are due within two weeks of the end of the previous quarter.

Personnel: This work is the responsibility of the Water Quality Coordinator.

Staff Time: (.02/FTE).

%Cost to Accomplish: \$2,200

XI. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COMMISSION AND EPA

The program budget will be administered through the Commission's Watershed Department. This responsibility includes the fiscal and personnel administration and maintenance of the Commission's commitments under this grant. Quarterly progress reports and the final program report will be prepared by the Commission and submitted to EPA for review. EPA will work with the Commission to address and resolve any unanticipated program difficulties encountered during the course of this funding cycle. It is understood that the successful accomplishment of work plan commitments is the responsibility of the grantee.

Coumbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission Watershed Restoration Support and Development Program October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2005 Revised May 20, 2004

VIII. PROPOSED BUDGET

Salary: Water Quality Coordinator (1.00 FTE):

\$ 57,680.00

Fringe benefits @ 31.5%:

\$ 18,169.00

(including Retirement, Health Insurance, FICA, SUTA, & FUTA)

Supplies:

\$ 395.00

(Scientific Journal Membership: Science and the American Fisheries Society @ \$225,

File Cabinet @ \$170.10)

Subtotal A

\$ 76,244.00

Travel:

• Two trips to each member tribe's reservation

These trips are used to meet with the Water Quality Coordinator and Department Manager to discuss and review at length, on and off reservation water quality issues. On-site reservation meetings ensure that the work conducted by the Water Quality Coordinator supports tribal efforts. Costs are based on previous travel to the member tribe's reservations. These trips require three days of travel each.

Auto Rental: \$ 103 x 8 trips = \$ 824 Lodging: \$ 156 x 8 trips = \$1,248 Per Diem: \$ 75 x 8 trips = \$ 600 Total = \$2,672

• Two off-site Commission Meetings

Off-site Commission meetings are held at a member tribe's Reservation. It is necessary for the Water Quality Coordinator to attend these meetings in order to present action items and updates to the Commissioners related to water issues of concern. Costs are based on previous travel to member tribe's reservation for off-site meetings.

Auto Rental: \$ 103 x 2 trips = \$206 Lodging: \$ 156 x 2 trips = \$312 Per Diem: \$ 75 x 2 trips = \$150 Total = \$668

• Education/Conference

The annual workshop and meeting presented below are forums where policy, legal, and technical updates on Clean Water Act regulatory processes and fish contaminants are presented. Costs are based on previous travel and training experience.

Annual Environmental Law Education Center Clean Water Conference: Portland, Oregon

Registration:

\$300

Conference: Annual EPA Fish Tissue Contaminants

Air Fare: \$418
Lodging: \$400
Per Diem: \$210
Total = \$1328

Travel Total	\$ 4668.00
Subtotal A	\$ 76,244.00

 Subtotal B
 \$ 80,912.00

 Indirect @ 35.95%:
 \$ 29,088.00

Equipment:
Contractual:

TOTAL: \$110,000.00